

Message

From: Bussard, David [Bussard.David@epa.gov]
Sent: 7/15/2014 8:30:01 PM
To: Subramaniam, Ravi [Subramaniam.Ravi@epa.gov]
Subject: FW: Revised letter to the Editor re Tom Starr's "Bottom up" approach
Attachments: Bottom up letter-NCEA 07-02-14 JJV.docx; Bottom up letter-NCEA 07-02-14 JJV.docx

Ravi,

My initial reaction was that John was not following our argument.

But, he is a close reader and typically very willing to make a point, so I reread the comments several times.

After that, I can see a number of places where we could be more clear.

Take a look also at my thoughts about his comments. I have not sent to him. I started writing rebuttals and then circled back to see if I was being fair. So, it is more the current state of play in my mind.

David



Bottom up
letter-NCEA 07-0...

From: Vandenberg, John
Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 2:56 PM
To: Bussard, David
Cc: Walsh, Debra
Subject: RE: Revised letter to the Editor re Tom Starr's "Bottom up" approach

David,

I reviewed the letter to the editor and have some comments; please see attached.

Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

John



Bottom up
letter-NCEA 07-0...

From: Walsh, Debra
Sent: Tuesday, July 15, 2014 2:21 PM

To: Vandenberg, John

Subject: FW: Revised letter to the Editor re Tom Starr's "Bottom up" approach

From: Bussard, David

Sent: Wednesday, July 02, 2014 8:36 AM

To: Walsh, Debra

Subject: Revised letter to the Editor re Tom Starr's "Bottom up" approach

Debra,

In response to review comments, we have revised the letter that is in clearance with you. STICS tracking number:
ORD-008977

<< File: Bottom up letter-NCEA 07-02-14.docx >>

Can you read this revised version?

If you want, here is a link to the original Tom Starr article:

<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S027323001300007X>

Thanks,

David